

# Voces de Poder: Análisis de opinión de los Mandatarios Europeos sobre la Inteligencia Artificial

Julio Pérez Hernanz

UB-ICCA

[julioperez@ub.edu](mailto:julioperez@ub.edu)

## Resumen:

Esta ponencia se propone explorar el panorama de opiniones y posturas publicadas por los mandatarios europeos respecto a la Inteligencia Artificial (IA). Para ello se utilizarán búsquedas en las cuentas de X (anteriormente Twitter) de desde la publicación del Libro Blanco sobre la inteligencia artificial hasta la publicación del IA ACT, identificando las oportunidades y los desafíos sociales, económicos, y políticos evidenciados por los líderes europeos, y cuales son vinculados al sector público. Al examinar las implicaciones de estas opiniones, la investigación aspira a contribuir al debate sobre cómo las democracias pueden navegar los desafíos del impacto de la IA en el sector público identificando aquellos factores que han sido clave para los mandatarios europeos.

## Summary:

This paper aims to explore the landscape of opinions and positions published by European leaders regarding Artificial Intelligence (AI). To achieve this, searches will be conducted on the X accounts (formerly Twitter) of these leaders from the publication of the White Paper on Artificial Intelligence to the publication of the AI Act. The study will identify the social, economic, and political opportunities and challenges highlighted by European leaders, with a particular focus on those linked to the public sector. By examining the implications of these opinions, the research aspires to contribute to the debate on how democracies can navigate the challenges posed by the impact of AI in the public sector, identifying the key factors that have been pivotal for European leaders.

**Palabras clave:** Inteligencia Artificial, Unión Europea, sector público, Ley de Inteligencia Artificial

**Keywords:** Artificial intelligence, European Union, Public sector, AI Act.

Julio Pérez Hernanz es estudiante de doctorado en Derecho en la Universidad de Barcelona y en Ciencia Política, Administración y Relaciones Internacionales en la UCM. Se graduó en Ciencias Políticas por la UCM con un máster en Big Data de la UCAM y en derecho por la UCM, con un máster en Derechos Humanos de la Universidad de Valencia. Colabora como miembro honorífico del ICCA, es miembro del grupo de investigación Q-dem. También ha colaborado con la Clínica Jurídica de Derechos Humanos en la UV. Su tema de investigación es la inteligencia artificial.

## 5.- Bibliografía

Baldini, D., y De Benedetto, M. (2024). The open texture of 'algorithm' in legal language. *AI and Society, Hart 1961*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-024-01925-z>

Ballardini, R. M., van den Hoven van Genderen, R., y Nokelainen, T. (2024). Legal incentives for innovations in the emotional AI domain: a carrot and stick approach? *Journal of Intellectual Property Law and Practice, 00(00)*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiplp/jpae041>

Barkane, I. (2022). Questioning the EU proposal for an Artificial Intelligence Act: The need for prohibitions and a stricter approach to biometric surveillance. *Information Polity, 27(2)*, 147–162. <https://doi.org/10.3233/IP-211524>

Bas, G., Salinas, C., Tinoco, R., y Sevilla, J. (2024). The EU AI Act: A pioneering effort to regulate frontier AI? *Inteligencia Artificial, 27(73)*, 55–64. <https://doi.org/10.4114/intartif.vol27iss73pp55-64>

Bellman, R. (1978) *Artificial Intelligence: Can Computers Think?*, Boyd y Fraser Pub. Co., San Francisco.

Bermúdez, J. P., Nystrup, R., Deterding, S., Moradbakhti, L., Mougnot, C., You, F., y Calvo, R. A. (2023). What Is a Subliminal Technique? An Ethical Perspective on AI-Driven Influence. *2023 IEEE International Symposium on Ethics in Engineering, Science, and Technology: Ethics in the Global Innovation Helix, ETHICS 2023*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ETHICS57328.2023.10155039>

Biewer, S., Baum, K., Sterz, S., Hermanns, H., Hetmank, S., Langer, M., Lauber-Rönsberg, A., y Lehr, F. (2024). Software doping analysis for human oversight. *Formal Methods in System Design*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10703-024-00445-2>

Bottomore, T. (1993). *elites and society*, pp. 52.

Bradford, A. (2023). *Digital empires*.

Brockmann, H., Drews, W., & Torpey, J. (2021). A class for itself? On the worldviews of the new tech elite. *PLoS ONE*, 16(1 January), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0244071>

Brockmann, H., Drews, W., y Torpey, J. (2021). A class for itself? On the worldviews of the new tech elite. *PLoS ONE*, 16(1 January), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0244071>

Cao, X., y Yousefzadeh, R. (2023). Extrapolation and AI transparency: Why machine learning models should reveal when they make decisions beyond their training. *Big Data and Society*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/20539517231169731>

Charmiak, E. y McDermott, D. (1985) *Introduction to Artificial Intelligence*, Addison Wesley,

Chiusi, F. (2020). Automating Society. *Automating Society Report 2020*.

Colmenarejo, A. B., Nannini, L., Rieger, A., Scott, K. M., Zhao, X., Patro, G. K., Kasneci, G., y Kinder-Kurlanda, K. (2022). Fairness in agreement with European values: An interdisciplinary perspective on ai regulation. In *AIES 2022 - Proceedings of the 2022 AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society* (Vol. 1, Issue 1). Association for Computing Machinery. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3514094.3534158>

Colonna, L. (2022). Addressing the Responsibility Gap in Data Protection by Design: Towards a More Future-oriented, Relational, and Distributed Approach. *Tilburg Law Review*, 27(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.5334/tilr.274>

Conca, S. De. (2023). The present looks nothing like the Jetsons: Deceptive design in virtual assistants and the protection of the rights of users. *Computer Law and Security Review*, 51, 105866. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2023.105866>

Czarnocki, J. (2021). Will new definitions of emotion recognition and biometric data hamper the objectives of the proposed AI Act? *Lecture Notes in Informatics (LNI), Proceedings - Series of the Gesellschaft Fur Informatik (GI), P-315*, 181–188.

Fabbri, M. (2023). Self-determination through explanation: an ethical perspective on the implementation of the transparency requirements for recommender systems set by the Digital Services Act of the European Union. *AIES 2023 - Proceedings of the 2023 AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society, October*, 653–661. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3600211.3604717>

Ferrari, F., van Dijck, J., y van den Bosch, A. (2023). Observe, inspect, modify: Three conditions for generative AI governance. *New Media and Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448231214811>

Fobes, 2024 [https://www.forbes.com/richest-in-tech/list/#tab:overall\\_header:country](https://www.forbes.com/richest-in-tech/list/#tab:overall_header:country)

Gayo-Avello, D. Jungherr, A. y Rivero, G. (2023). *Social Media and Electoral Prediction\_ Ten Years After*, pp. 5

Goldsmith, S. y Crawford, S. (2014) *The responsive city*, Willey.

Golpayegani, D., Pandit, H. J., y Lewis, D. (2022). *AIRO: An Ontology for Representing AI Risks Based on the Proposed EU AI Act and ISO Risk Management Standards. 0*. <https://doi.org/10.3233/ssw220008>

Golpayegani, D., Pandit, H. J., y Lewis, D. (2023). To Be High-Risk, or Not To Be - Semantic Specifications and Implications of the AI Act's High-Risk AI Applications and Harmonised Standards. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 905–915. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3593013.3594050>

Goodfellow, I. Bengio, y. Courville, A. (2016). *Deep Learning (Adaptive Computation and Machine Learning series)*. The MIT Press.

Górski, Ł., y Ramakrishna, S. (2023). Challenges in Adapting LLMs for Transparency: Complying with Art. 14 EU AI Act. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications*, 379, 275–280. <https://doi.org/10.3233/FAIA230974>

Hacker, P., Engel, A., y Mauer, M. (2023). Regulating ChatGPT and other Large Generative AI Models. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 1112–1123. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3593013.3594067>

Harbinja, E., Edwards, L., y McVey, M. (2023). Governing ghostbots. *Computer Law and Security Review*, 48(February 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2023.105791>

Haugeland, J. (1997) *Mind Desing II*. MA. The MIT Press, Cambridge

Hirsch-Kreinsen, H., y Krokowski, T. (2023). Trustworthy AI: AI made in Germany and Europe? *AI and Society*, 0123456789. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-023-01808-9>

Hupont, I., Fernández-Llorca, D., Baldassarri, S., y Gómez, E. (2024). Use case cards: a use case reporting framework inspired by the European AI Act. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 26(2). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-024-09757-7>

Jungherr, A. (2015). Analyzing political communication with digital trace data. In *Contributions to Political Science*. <http://www.springer.com/series/11829><http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-20319-5><http://www.worldcat.org/oclc/915353437>

Jungherr, A. (2016). Twitter use in election campaigns: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Information Technology and Politics*, 13(1), 72–91. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19331681.2015.1132401>

Jungherr, A., Schoen, H., y Jürgens, P. (2016). The Mediation of Politics through Twitter: An Analysis of Messages posted during the Campaign for the German Federal Election 2013. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 21(1), 50–68. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcc4.12143>

Jungherr, P., y Jürgens, A. (2016). *A tutorial for using twitter data in the social sciences: data collection, preparation, and analysis*.

Kertzer, J. D., y Renshon, J. (2022). Experiments and Surveys on Political Elites. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 25, 529–550. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-polisci-051120-013649>

Kindylidi, I., y Cabral, T. S. (2021). Sustainability of ai: The case of provision of information to consumers. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(21), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132112064>

Kurzweil, R. (1990) *The Age of Intelligent Machines*, MA. The MIT Press, Cambridge.

Laux, J., Stephany, F., Russell, C., Wachter, S., y Mittelstadt, B. (2022). The Concentration-after-Personalisation Index (CAPI): Governing effects of personalisation using the example of targeted online advertising. *Big Data and Society*, 9(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/20539517221132535>

Li, Z. (2023). Why the European AI Act transparency obligation is insufficient. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 5(6), 559–560. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-023-00672-y>

Malgieri, G., y Pasquale, F. (2024). Licensing high-risk artificial intelligence: Toward ex ante justification for a disruptive technology. *Computer Law and Security Review*, 52(November 2023), 105899. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2023.105899>

Marano, P., y Li, S. (2023). Regulating Robo-Advisors in Insurance Distribution: Lessons from the Insurance Distribution Directive and the AI Act. *Risks*, 11(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.3390/risks11010012>

Matus, K. J. M., y Veale, M. (2022). Certification systems for machine learning: Lessons from sustainability. *Regulation and Governance*, 16(1), 177–196. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12417>

Mazzini, G., y Bagni, F. (2023). Considerations on the regulation of AI systems in the financial sector by the AI Act. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2023.1277544>

Meszaros, J., Minari, J., y Huys, I. (2022). The future regulation of artificial intelligence systems in healthcare services and medical research in the European Union. *Frontiers in Genetics*, 13(October), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.927721>

Mihaljević, H., Müller, I., Dill, K., Yollu-Tok, A., y von Grafenstein, M. (2023). More or less discrimination? Practical feasibility of fairness auditing of technologies for personnel selection. *AI and Society*, 0123456789. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-023-01726-w>

Mobilio, G. (2023). Your face is not new to me – Regulating the surveillance power of facial recognition technologies. *Internet Policy Review*, 12(1), 1–31. <https://doi.org/10.14763/2023.1.1699>

Nations, U. (2023). *Governing AI for Humanity*.

Novelli, C., Governatori, G., y Rotolo, A. (2023). Automating Business Process Compliance for the EU AI Act. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications*, 379, 125–130. <https://doi.org/10.3233/FAIA230955>

Palmiotto, F. (2024). When Is a Decision Automated? A Taxonomy for a Fundamental Rights Analysis. *German Law Journal*, 21, 210–236. <https://doi.org/10.1017/glj.2023.112>

Papadakis, T., Christou, I. T., Ipektsidis, C., Soldatos, J., y Amicone, A. (2024). Explainable and transparent artificial intelligence for public policymaking. *Data and Policy*, 6, 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1017/dap.2024.3>

Poole, D., Mackworth, A. y Goebel, R. (1998) *Computational Intelligence A Logical Approach*, Oxford University Press, New York.

Porlezza, C. (2023). Promoting responsible AI: A European perspective on the governance of artificial intelligence in media and journalism. *Communications*, 48(3), 370–394. <https://doi.org/10.1515/commun-2022-0091>

Rahman Khan, S. (2012). The sociology of elites. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 38(April), 361–377. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-071811-145542>

Rahman Khan, S. (2012). The sociology of elites. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 38(April), 361–377. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-071811-145542>

Ranjbar, A., Mork, E., Ravn, J., Brøgger, H., Myrseth, P., Østrem, H. P., y Hallock, H. (2024). Managing Risk and Quality of AI in Healthcare: Are Hospitals Ready for Implementation? *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy*, Volume 17(April), 877–882. <https://doi.org/10.2147/rmhp.s452337>

Ricciardi Celsi, L. (2023). The Dilemma of Rapid AI Advancements: Striking a Balance between Innovation and Regulation by Pursuing Risk-Aware Value Creation. *Information (Switzerland)*, 14(12). <https://doi.org/10.3390/info14120645>

Rich, E. y Knight, K. (1991) *Artificial Intelligence*, McGraw Hill Higher, Dallas.

Russell, S. Norvig, P. (2004) *Inteligencia Artificial un enfoque moderno*. Pearson Educación, S.A., Madrid. Disponible en: <https://luismejias21.files.wordpress.com/2017/09/inteligencia-artificial-un-enfoque-moderno-stuart-j-russell.pdf>

Sachoulidou, A. (2023). Going beyond the “common suspects”: to be presumed innocent in the era of algorithms, big data and artificial intelligence. In *Artificial Intelligence and Law* (Issue 0123456789). Springer Netherlands. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10506-023-09347-w>

Söderlund, K., Engström, E., Haresamudram, K., Larsson, S., y Strimling, P. (2024). Regulating high-reach AI: On transparency directions in the Digital



Services Act. *Internet Policy Review*, 13(1), 1–31.  
<https://doi.org/10.14763/2024.1.1746>

Sovrano, F., Sapienza, S., Palmirani, M., y Vitali, F. (2021). A Survey on Methods and Metrics for the Assessment of Explainability under the Proposed AI Act. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications*, 346, 235–242.  
<https://doi.org/10.3233/FAIA210342>

Stettinger, G., Weissensteiner, P., y Khastgir, S. (2024). Trustworthiness Assurance Assessment for High-Risk AI-Based Systems. *IEEE Access*, 12(January), 22718–22745. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3364387>

Tallberg, J., Lundgren, M., y Geith, J. (2024). AI regulation in the European Union: examining non-state actor preferences. *Business and Politics*, 218–239.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/bap.2023.36>

Tamò-Larrieux, A., Guitton, C., Mayer, S., y Lutz, C. (2023). Regulating for trust: Can law establish trust in artificial intelligence? *Regulation and Governance*, November, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rego.12568>

Tantaleán, R. (2016). Tipología De Las Investigaciones Jurídicas. *Derecho y Cambio Social*, 2224–4131, 1–32.  
<https://dialnet.unirioja.es/servlet/articulo?codigo=5456267>

Turksen, U., Benson, V., y Adamyk, B. (2024). Legal implications of automated suspicious transaction monitoring: enhancing integrity of AI. *Journal of Banking Regulation*, 0123456789. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41261-024-00233-2>

United Nations Systems. (2022). *Principles for the Ethical Use of Artificial Intelligence in the United Nations System*.  
[https://unsceb.org/sites/default/files/2023-03/CEB\\_2022\\_2\\_Add.1 %28AI ethics principles%29.pdf](https://unsceb.org/sites/default/files/2023-03/CEB_2022_2_Add.1_%28AI%20ethics%20principles%29.pdf)

Van Bekkum, M., y Zuiderveen Borgesius, F. (2023). Using sensitive data to prevent discrimination by artificial intelligence: Does the GDPR need a new

exception? *Computer Law and Security Review*, 48, 105770.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clsr.2022.105770>

Van Dijck, G. (2022). Predicting Recidivism Risk Meets AI Act. *European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research*, 28(3), 407–423.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10610-022-09516-8>

Van Kolfshoeten, H. (2023). The AI cycle of health inequity and digital ageism: mitigating biases through the EU regulatory framework on medical devices. *Journal of Law and the Biosciences*, 10(2), 1–23.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jlb/lvad031>

White House. (2023). *Executive Order on the Safe, Secure, and Trustworthy Development and Use of Artificial Intelligence*.  
<https://www.pwc.com/jp/en/knowledge/column/generative-ai-regulation09.html>

Winston, P. H. (1992) *Artificial Intelligence*, Addison-Wesley publishing company, Massachusetts. Disponible en:  
<https://courses.csail.mit.edu/6.034f/ai3/rest.pdf>