Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

Colombia, COVID-19, and the Colonial Trap:

Reflections on the Politics of Knowledge Production

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has made historical and contemporary colonial relationships between and within States more fraught. This complexity is apparent within the research process itself, adding a new dimension to debates on positionality and the politics of knowledge production. Drawing on critical approaches to International Relations, and in dialogue with an emerging literature on the implications of the pandemic for knowledge decolonisation, we reflect on our experience as scholars from the UK/Ireland researching colonial legacy and Transitional Justice in Colombia. The aim of this autoethnographic article is to suggest how the COVID-19 pandemic affected inequalities between researchers based in Europe and participants in Latin America. Our findings are mixed. While covid-related funding cuts undermined equity within relationships, the virtual field offered an opportunity to cultivate *complicidad* and re-think issues of ethics, voice, and the research agenda itself. Finally, *El Maestro Covid* taught us valuable lessons on the colonial trap inherent in our endeavours.

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

Introduction

In September 2020, at the height of the COVID-19 pandemic, indigenous protesters in Popayán, Colombia, toppled a statue of the Spanish *conquistador* Sebastián de Belalcázar. In a context where indigenous leaders are frequently targeted while protecting their ancestral lands, this act spurred public debate on the legacies of Spanish colonialism (Buenahora Durán, 2020). Some months prior– in June 2020 – the statue of British slave-trader Edward Colston was launched into Bristol harbour by Black Lives Matter (BLM) protesters. Again, this event galvanised the debate on historical memory and colonialism in Britain and Ireland (Nasar, 2020). Despite restrictions on protest, 2020 saw a global wave of collective action to denounce the presence of centuries-old, deep-rooted discriminatory structures that continue to shape people's lives today. This global conversation on the colonial past was played out against the politically and socially fraught backdrop of the COVID-19 pandemic.

[Photo 1 here]

At the same time, the multiplicity of collective action gives rise to an important question: what space is there for collaboration between the Global North (GN) and the Global South (GS) in the pursuit of a decolonial agenda? This is a timely question, as the pandemic uncovered and exacerbated ongoing colonial relationships, particularly the unequal distribution of vaccines (Harman et al, 2021), and the continuity of travel restrictions from GS to GN as the pandemic receded. As Blume (2022) notes, colonial power has, historically, expanded thanks to epidemics, which have placed subalternised peoples at greater disadvantage. The pandemic has also highlighted the

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

contemporary legacies of colonial rule *within* postcolonial States, including failing healthcare systems (Cordeiro-Rodrigues, 2021) and the use of emergency powers (Ní Aoláin, 2022). In Colombia, Ilich, Quigua, and Murillo (2020) note that the harms of COVID-19, bolted to insufficient responses by the government, reproduced deep-set structures and experiences of colonialism suffered by indigenous and Afro-descendant peoples. Undoubtedly, *el Maestro Covid[1]* offers valuable lessons about the historical roots of contemporary crises.

The issue of colonialism is of particular interest to the authors of this article, who are engaged in a project which compares colonial legacies in Ireland and Colombia, considering the potential of Transitional Justice (TJ) — understood as a toolkit for dealing with mass human rights violations and/or regime change — for redress of historical harms. Phase 1 of the study included 20 semi-structured interviews with Colombian experts on colonialism and/or TJ, from different disciplines, institutions, and geographical locations. One of the main findings from these conversations was the general absence of colonialism from public discourse, except within indigenous and Afrodescendant movements, who highlight the historical roots of their present-day grievances. References to feminist organisations also emerged, some of whom are also beginning to articulate discourses with reference to coloniality.[2] From these findings, in phase 2, we elaborated new questions on colonial legacies to guide a series of semi-structured interviews with women who had experience of political organising at the national and local level. 19 interviews were carried out with women of indigenous, Afro-descendant, Roma/gypsy and mestiza descent throughout the country.

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

Our study began in 2020, at the start of the pandemic, and gained momentum alongside the debates on colonialism and historical memory in the aftermath of the BLM protests. Consequently, in attempting to put colonialism in the frame to understand contemporary Colombia, we became acutely aware of our own positionality, given that we are all white, Northern Europeans, two are women and one a man, and only one of us speaks Spanish fluently. At the same time, two of the authors are Irish and find resonance in relation to colonial legacy articulated in their previous work (Rolston and Ní Aoláin, 2018). Given this multi-layered positionality, we came to consider our own situatedness in the imperial puzzle and how this may shape our research on colonial legacy in Colombia.

The core issue with which this article grapples, then, is that of the researcher-interviewee dichotomies and inequalities that constitute a feature of qualitative research (Anyan, 2013), which have been approached through participatory action research (Fals Borda, 2007), and which are exacerbated when researchers from the GN carry out research on the GS (Bilgen, Nasir and Schöneberg, 2021). This tension came to the fore during the pandemic and is discussed in an emerging literature on the implications of the crisis for the decolonisation of knowledge (see, for example Barei-Guyot, 2022). We contribute to this conversation by offering an autoethnographic reflection on our endeavours to carry out a meaningful, participatory study on Colombia during the global pandemic. To do so, we draw on critical approaches to International Relations — including decolonial and feminist perspectives —to frame our understandings of the political dimension of our work. Furthermore, we underscore that research on Latin America carried out by scholars based in Europe, particularly when funded by Overseas Development Assistance (ODA), must be

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

considered within the broader context of the international politics of foreign aid (see further Kumar Pankaj, 2005), that is: how academic engagement from outside the region contributes to eliminating or maintaining structural inequalities.

Bringing these reflections together, we employ the notion of "the politics of knowledge" which Jones and Lühe (2021: 10) develop to refer to "…how knowledge is generated, how the boundaries of such knowledge come to be determined, which forms of knowledge are considered to be more legitimate and authoritative, and [how this] shapes the types of policies which are considered, designed and implemented". The same authors (Jones and Lühe, 2021:10) highlight the dangers of "knowledge imperialism", a dominant paradigm in which knowledge is produced from the GN and applied to the GS, often with ruinous effects. We develop this notion further, to refer to the "colonial trap", that is the inherent danger of researchers working from a broadly decolonial agenda in the GN – perhaps inadvertently - reproducing the very structures which they are aiming to undo. In a similar vein, Sullivan-Clarke (2020) notes that many self-declared "allies" of Indigenous communities may *deepen* colonial relationships by following their own agenda and failing to acknowledge their privilege.

Drawing from our experience, then, as scholars from the UK/Ireland researching colonial legacy and TJ in Colombia, we ask how the COVID-19 pandemic has affected research inequalities between researchers based in Europe and participants based in Latin America. Our findings are mixed. On one hand, the pandemic limited the potential to build equitable relationships for many reasons, including the impact of cuts to ODA and the priorities reset by government during the pandemic, which fell

heavily on research which privileged and supported the GN and GS interface. On the other, ongoing on-line interactions and shared experiences of the pandemic – as well as an acknowledgement of resonances between colonial projects in the GN (such as Ireland) and GS (such as Colombia) - gave us the opportunity to cultivate relationships based on what we refer to as *complicidad,* that is a sense of closeness, commonality, and shared purpose. In turn, this meant that we could re-consider aspects relating to participants' consent and the research agenda itself, as circumstances changed, in keeping with a feminist ethics of care (see further West, 1999). Finally, *El Maestro Covid* offered some valuable and unexpected lessons on the colonial trap inherent in our research.

The article is organised as follows: in Part One, we contextualise the study by discussing TJ and its relationship with colonial legacies, with reference to Colombia, and reflect on our own situatedness as part of the "TJ circuit" (Rowen, 2017); in Part Two, drawing on critical approaches to International Relations, we frame our study by discussing the politics of knowledge production between the GN and GS; and in Part Three, we offer a series of reflections from our project on TJ and colonial legacy in Colombia, navigating our positionality as three scholars from Ireland/the UK. The relevance of COVID-19 is a common thread throughout. In the conclusions, we summarise our main findings and offer recommendations on how scholars based outside of Latin America might contribute to a decolonial agenda in future.

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

Transitional Justice: Dealing with or Dealing out Colonial Harms?

From the outset, we should clarify what we mean by "colonialism". Put simply, it is a generic reference to the practices of subjugation, domination, and exploitation of other peoples and territories using violence, with the aim of advancing economic benefits to colonial States. Multiple and layered justifications have facilitated colonial practices including religion, a civilising mission, economic development (Betts, 1998), 'manifest destiny' (Pearce, 1998) and 'terra nullius' (Lindqvist, 2007). In sequences from the complex and time-expansive experience of colonisation, decolonisation is an incomplete process (Jansen and Osterhammel, 2017). As Stoler (2008) argues, there is a link between colonial projects in the past and a lack of opportunities for certain groups in the present, through overt and subtle forms of continuing oppression. The experiences of colonialism in Latin America, while often absent from the postcolonial literature in English (e.g. Bhabha, 1984; Spivak, 1988; and Loomba, 2005), are a case in point.

In this respect, another concept has emerged as central to our research, namely coloniality (*colonialidad),* which is rooted in the Latin American experience and – as Phase 1 of our research revealed - has considerable traction among scholars in Colombia. Mignolo (2005: 7) explains that '[W]hile "colonialism" refers to specific historical periods and places of imperial domination,' 'coloniality' refers to the underlying structure of colonial domination. As Escobar (2004: 219) puts it, 'coloniality did not end with the end of colonialism'. Indeed, coloniality is an overarching – and Eurocentric - way of seeing and organising the world; a cosmology and epistemology which begins with Columbus' journey across the Atlantic in 1492 (Castro-Gómez, 2007: 428). The value of this approach in challenging the Eurocentric

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

ordering of the world is significant.

Applying these discussions to Colombia, colonialism - or *colonialismo* – refers to the invasion of the Americas and the annexation of enormous swathes of land to the Spanish crown during the 16th century. There has been considerable scholarly production on different aspects of this process (e.g. Herrera, 2006; Muñoz Arbaláez, 2015; Nieto Olarte, 2013; and Jaramillo Sierra, 2013). Despite declaring Independence in 1810, the descendants of the *conquistadores* clung to power, shaping political and social structures through a colonial prism, with ethnicity as an organising – and marginalising – principle, strict gender roles, and the unequal distribution of land. These expressions of coloniality continue to dictate Colombian politics and society today (LeGrand, 1989). They also became heightened in the government's response to the COVID-19 pandemic, which left Indigenous and other communities more exposed to both the virus and violent attacks by armed groups (Fernandez, Scauso and Stravrevska, 2022).

[Photo 2 here]

While the concept and field continue to be contested (Gready and Robins, 2020), TJ can be understood "as an umbrella term for approaches to deal with the past in the aftermath of violent conflict or dictatorial regimes" (Buckley-Zistel et al, 2014: 1). These approaches include special courts, legal proceedings, truth commissions, apologies, and reparations, among others. And yet, the deep past – including historical colonial projects - has been notoriously absent from TJ efforts and academic studies.[3] For example, only a handful of truth commissions have identified the colonial past as

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

a structural cause of human rights violations[4] and TJ has not successfully dealt with the responsibility for colonial crimes in Europe (Fletcher and Weinstein, 2018: 206).

Nevertheless, the emerging concern about the contemporary iterations of colonial relationships so prevalent in the public sphere since the onset of COVID-19 and the BLM protests has begun to permeate the study and practice of TJ. Indeed, there is now a burgeoning field of studies addressing TJ and colonialism, reflected in a flurry of publications,[5] projects,[6] blogs[7] and other initiatives. We are also witnessing unprecedented efforts to deal with the legacies of multiple colonial pasts with what might be called a TJ "toolkit" across jurisdictions and regions. The establishment of a Truth Commission by the Belgian government in 2020 to deal with its overseas legacy offers one example of opportunities for reckoning on the harms of the past (Destrooper, 2022).

These developments are relevant to Colombia, as we discovered in Phase 1 of our study. According to the academics we interviewed, there has been a historic lack of attention to colonial legacy within Colombian peacebuilding and TJ efforts, a dynamic that was repeated in the discussions leading up to the Havana Peace Accord and reproduced within the agreement itself (Wright, Rolston and Ní Aoláin, 2023a). And yet, the recent Truth Commission (CEV) (2019-2022) has taken a very different approach. Working amid the COVID-19 pandemic – which tragically cost the life of Afro-descendant Commissioner Angela Salazar – the CEV endeavoured with its broad mandate. At the same time, the BLM movement was resonating in Colombia, particularly in locations characterised by violence and structural racism, such as the

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

port city of Buenaventura (Gillooly, 2021), and coincided with a wave of anti-police protests throughout the country.

When the final report of the CEV was published in August 2022, colonial legacy emerged as a key theme. For instance, there are some profound reflections on the colonial roots of contemporary structural inequalities, including the impact of the *hacienda* system on the (lack of) distribution of land, the dispossession of the "wastelands", a low-intensity democracy, and a profoundly racist society (CEV, 2022a). Furthermore, an exhortation is made "To the whole nation to overcome structural racism, colonialism and the unjust and immensely clumsy exclusion inflicted on indigenous, Afro-Colombian, Raizal and Romani peoples, disproportionately affected by the war..." (CEV, 2022b). As a result, engagement with TJ and colonial legacy in Colombia during the COVID-19/BLM context was in keeping with dynamics elsewhere, and came to resonate, organically, with our research agenda.

There is, however, a prior and arguably more fundamental problem regarding TJ and its capacity to deal with colonial harm. TJ interventions are often seen as a condition for the transferal of aid from the GN, bundled with a global 'industry' of professionals, experts, academics, lawyers, and others. Viewing this process has led some critics (for instance, Fletcher and Weinstein, 2018:19; Ahmed An-Na'im, 2013: 197) to conclude that the Northern 'human rights' dominance of TJ is, in effect, a modern reconfiguration of colonial power, following a similar interventionist and patriarchal logic of the past. At best, such interventions may end up as ineffective, at worst they may open-up 'the surest path to sustaining violence' (African Union, 2019:

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

iv).

At the same time, stakeholders and jurisdictions in the GS have been central to the development of TJ as a practice, from the grandmothers of the Plaza de Mayo in Argentina (see Arditti, 2002) to the truth and reconciliation commissions in Guatemala and El Salvador (see Hayner, 2011). Likewise, the truth commissions in Chile and elsewhere fuelled debates in the GN about dealing with the legacy of violent conflict. Thus, it would be simplistic to see TJ as 'imposed' on the GS and Latin America, given the role of many countries in the region in framing, and developing the field (Jones and Lühe, 2020).

This ambivalence can be seen in Colombia. On the one hand, research carried out by Rowen (2017) reveals some scepticism about and opposition to TJ measures, given a perceived hegemony of Northern influences on the field. Many interviewees – including scholars, policy makers, and advocates - focused on the dominance of the GN in implementing TJ initiatives, seeing Colombia as 'just one more stop on the transitional justice circuit' (Rowen, 2017: 633). On the other hand, other Colombian academics and peacebuilders have suggested that recent TJ efforts have had a decolonising function and generated new, original, and "intercultural" approaches, as Izquierdo and Viane (2018) find with the Special Jurisdiction for Peace (JEP).

Consequently, for TJ to successfully navigate colonial legacies, it must first deal with coloniality within, as Park (2020) and Bueno-Hansen (2015) note. In parallel, as three European academics working on a project financed by the UK government's ODA - via the Global Challenges Research Fund (GCRF) (see further UKRI, 2023) - we

11

need to consider our own situatedness in the colonial legacy and contemporary

puzzle. Are we part of the broader "TJ circuit" as Rowen (2017) puts it? If so, what

are the political implications of our work? In the next section, we discuss the politics

of knowledge production and the limits and possibilities for meaningful exchange

between Europe and Latin America, in the COVID-19 context.

The Politics of Knowledge in Times of Covid-19

Justifying the lens of colonial legacy is one thing, but the legitimacy and ability of three white Northern European scholars to carry out this work on Colombia is quite another. We are called to consider our own multi-layered positionality, the acknowledgement of 'gender, race, class and other aspects of our identities' on the grounds that '[K[nowledge is valid when it includes an acknowledgement of the knower's specific position in any context…' (Maher and Tetreault, 1993: 118). For instance, one of us has lived and worked as an academic in Latin America and she is the only one who is entirely fluent in Spanish. Two of the authors are female, but the positionality of gendered experiences for men and women in the GN brings its own baggage and is self-evidently distinct from the experience of being male or female in the GS, thereby limiting the transferability of knowledge (Mohanty, 2013).

Two of us come to this research as descendants of a colonised and subalternised people; indeed, the Norman conquest of Ireland was justified by the supposed intellectual, spiritual, and cultural backwardness of the Irish (Gerald of Wales, 1988: 134). Deeply held discriminatory views persisted through the centuries, laying the blame for colonial harms such as the Famine of the mid-19th century at the door of the Irish themselves. In recent times, this discourse was stoked by the thirty-year conflict in Northern Ireland between the late 1960s and late 1990s. The contemporary effects of British colonialism continue; indeed, the UK government does not consider Northern Ireland to be a conflict site for the purposes of the Women, Peace, and Security agenda (see further O'Rourke, 2014) and rejects the presence and impact of colonialism here. Thus - while explicitly acknowledging the further subalternisation

of Travellers and other communities in Ireland – we maintain that as people from a colonised nation where the process of decolonisation is incomplete (McVeigh and Rolston, 2021), we share a commonality and resonance with those whom we seek to engage in Colombia.

We are mindful that the articulation of one's position is, in itself, insufficient. In fact, positionality could be solely gestural and, serving simply 'to locate oneself in what might be termed the "topophilic" academy' (Robertson, 2002: 788). Rather, knowledge production and the relationships created therein must be understood as inherently political, in keeping with the logic of participatory action research (Fals Borda, 2007). Contributions from critical approaches to International Relations – including decolonial and feminist perspectives - can also be useful here. As Halistoprak (2021: 34) explains "given [that] critical knowledge is normatively motivated for change… knowledge production is political as much as it is an academic activity."

With these epistemic considerations in mind, considerable attention has been given to the dynamics of power and privilege among scholars who – like us – are working on cultures different from their own (see, e.g. Cronin-Furman and Lake, 2018; and Krystalli, 2021). As Jones and Lühe (2021) note, positionality and 'the politics of knowledge' – i.e. how the way in which knowledge is produced may affect policy outcomes– are particularly important in the field of TJ, given that scholars have shaped peacebuilding in practice, in high-stakes contexts of human rights violations and violence. The same authors (Jones and Lühe, 2021) develop the notion of "knowledge imperialism" to refer to the way in which ideas from the GN are applied

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

to the GS, with disastrous outcomes. As well as highlighting the role of academics in such outworkings, we need to incorporate a fundamentally structural critique, as Flint et al (2022: 77) do, referring to the "epistemic colonialism", generated by the North-South flow of research funds. For his part, Mark Israel (2017) criticises the "ethical imperialism" exercised by Ethics Committees located in the GN, when their standards are applied to contexts in the GS.

Engaging with these reflections, we use the term "colonial trap" to refer to the inherent risk of researchers working from a broadly decolonial agenda in the GN reproducing the very structures they seek to undo. Similarly, Bisoka (2022) refers to the "paradox of western modernity", i.e. "The simultaneous adherence to humanist discourse and the refusal to renounce privileges that result in the subordination and oppression of subjects at the margins." Sullivan-Clarke notes that many self-declared allies may inadvertently do harm to the communities they—paternalistically—claim to represent. As an alternative, she suggests a model of "decolonial ally", someone who "1) recognises the self-determination of Indigenous people, 2) acknowledges that they benefit from colonialism, 3) stands in relation to Indigenous people, and 4) allows that relation to provide the framework of their actions of service." (Sullivan-Clarke, 2020:34).

With this in mind, we mobilise the Spanish idea of *complicidad*, which – unlike "complicity" in English – can be positively valanced to reflect a coming together of people who share understandings, experiences, and goals, going beyond the notion of "rapport" which is characteristic of qualitative interviews (Schettini and Cortazo, 2015). Despite our initial position of privilege, the relationship between the GN and

colonialism is far from monolithic. Again, the alternisation of our Irishness and ongoing coloniality on the Island of Ireland, may offer a springboard for resonance with interviewees in Colombia.

In the context of the pandemic, such reflections on the political dimension of knowledge production have become timely. Indeed, there is an emerging literature on the impact of COVID-19 on academic exchange between the GN and the GS, engaging with critical approaches in IR. From a decolonial perspective, Barei-Guyot (2021) argues that the dynamics of the pandemic offered a meaningful opportunity to revise and decolonise research partnerships, an observation echoed by Charvet and Ordóñez (2020). Drawing on feminist perspectives, Boer Cueva, Giri, Hamilton, and Shepherd (2021) found that the lockdowns offered unexpected opportunities for intimacy between scholars and interviewees who found themselves in similar circumstances, despite being geographically distant. Likewise, Barei-Guyot (2022) notes that the pandemic reinforced the urgency for a feminist ethics of care, whereby long-term relationships are prioritised over formal academic structures and exigencies in the GN. Finally, old concerns about the imperial potential of foreign aid (see for instance Kumar Pankaj, 2005), have been supercharged in the pandemic context, given that ODA-financed research had been de-funded, (Nwako et al, 2023).

Turning the mirror back on ourselves, while we do not claim to be TJ practitioners nor 'missionaries' from the GN, we cannot separate ourselves from our research in a clinical fashion. Similarly, in a widespread context of research fatigue, we inevitably become a concentric circle of the "TJ circuit", to which Rowen (2017) refers. We do not claim objectivity but are committed to 'activist scholarship', 'politically engaged

16

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

research … for the purpose of furthering justice and equality …' (Lennox and Yildiz, 2020). The political nature of this agenda takes on a further dimension, considering that UKRI, the body which finances our research as an exercise of ODA, places emphasis on *impact,* that is "the demonstrable contribution that excellent research makes to society and the economy" (UKRI, 2022). As scholars, we must ensure that the ways in which this impact is thought of, crafted, and implemented, do not reproduce knowledge imperialism, but, rather, go some way to dismantling it. This entails stepping away from the colonial trap, to cultivate *complicidad,* as "decolonial allies" (Sullivan-Clarke, 2020). The context of the global pandemic has rendered these reflections – which are developed further in the next section—politically relevant.

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

Notes from the (Virtual) Field

Our project delves into the relationship between colonial legacies and TJ, but documenting how past harms link to contemporary ones remains a fraught task. The contemporary effects of original dispossession and obliteration are evident: profound inequality and poverty, the bias of law and policy which protects elites and entrenches economic and political dominance, governance systems resting on exclusion and repression, and patriarchal power structures. At the same time, not all those at the end of the chain of marginalisation in Colombia and elsewhere in Latin America explain their current positionality as deriving from a colonial past (McClintock, 1992), thanks —in part —to the ideological trope of *mestizaje*, which has sought to blur racial lines to forge new national projects (Wright and Martí i Puig, 2012). The crucial task is revealing, as far as possible, the direct lines of colonial ruin – to borrow Stoler's idea (2008)—between past dispossession and current inequality, without either over- or under-reading the importance of colonial legacies.

To fill the chasm, we first engaged with academics and second with women in situated Colombian communities to gain their perspective. As COVID-19 cast its shadow, travel had to be postponed and so, in keeping with a global shift during this period (see further Rahman et al, 2021), we moved our research on-line. While the digital divide was exacerbated by the pandemic — including in Colombia, see Rodríguez-Martínez and Arango Lozano (2022) — the people with whom we engaged had access to mobile phones and/or computers. In the following sections, drawing on critical approaches to IR, particularly decolonial and feminist perspectives, we discuss how the COVID-19 pandemic affected our research and

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

identify the valuable lessons it taught us on the colonial trap implicit in our research endeavours.

Equitable Partnerships in Times of Budget Cuts

In partial response to critical IR theorists' claims that foreign aid is essentially an imperialist enterprise (Kumar Pankaj, 2005), in recent decades donor countries have placed emphasis on cultivating equitable relationships, i.e. those that "should aim to be as fair as possible, extant imbalances notwithstanding" (Flint et al, 2022: 79). For its part, our funder — UKRI — explicitly identifies equitable relationships as a core feature of the GCRF projects (Grieve and Mitchell, 2022). Mindful of this, we sought to form meaningful collaborations with Colombian institutions and organisations instead of asymmetrical, extractive exchanges with individual participants (see further Gaillard, 1994). Phase 2 of the research – engaging with women involved in the public sphere, specifically those of indigenous or Afro descendant origin — was particularly delicate. In a context of generalised violence in post-Accord Colombia, human rights defenders are exposed to reprisals when addressing the conflict, peacebuilding, and structures of social injustice. This situation was exacerbated by the lockdowns imposed during the pandemic, which rendered rural communities and women particularly vulnerable (Chaparro Moreno and Alfonso, 2020).

Consequently, to engage with women meaningfully and strive for equity in this second phase of on-line research, we established a collaboration with an organisation working on women's rights in Colombia — Corporación Alianza Iniciativa de Mujeres Colombianas por la Paz-IMP (henceforth Alianza-IMP). It was agreed that

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

Alianza-IMP would offer technical advice on our interview script and provide contacts with indigenous, Afrodescendant/black, and mestiza women. In return, at their suggestion, we offered a certificate of participation for all interviewees, a report in Spanish with key findings, and our feedback on progress at meetings of their governing body. Additionally, it was agreed that if the results of the study indicated a clear pathway, we would publish a policy paper. In that regard, we would be crossing over from knowledge production into political impact (Jones and Lühe, 2021). In addition to the prior agreements – and despite their insistence that it would be unnecessary — we offered our Colombian partner funds to finance any operational costs that might arise during the collaboration.

We were satisfied that this approach would fulfil the funder's exigencies to work towards "impact", (UKRI, 2022), and at the same time constitute an equitable partnership (Flint et al, 2022). And yet, *el Maestro Covid* scuppered what, for us, was a key part of this plan. In March 2021, the UK government announced unprecedented cuts to its ODA budget from the following year (from 0.7% to 0.5% of the GDP), including a massive blow to the GCRF scheme. In an excellent analysis of the cuts and their devastating implications for projects supported, Nwako et al (2023) called into question the commitments of UKRI to partners and development in the GS. Applying a critical analysis to foreign aid, this action can be understood as an expression of the coloniality underpinning research, with financial and decision-making power firmly residing in the GN (the centre) rather than the GS (the periphery) (Flint et al, 2022).

While our project survived the cuts, we had no resources for activities for the 2021-2022 period. Our insistence on covering any operational costs was now doubly misguided, as we had to backtrack. Fortunately, our colleagues in Colombia were understanding and keen to carry on with the collaboration whenever we were ready. It also became clear that *we* had decided what they needed in an inadvertent and overly paternalistic attempt to avoid the colonial trap involved in knowledge production, when they had already clearly stated what they required i.e. the certificates of participation, feedback at meetings, and the final report. In that sense, we had fallen short of the standard of "decolonial allyship" (Sullivan-Clarke, 2020: 34). Indeed, we concur that "the movement to decolonise knowledge should not become one in which researchers from HICs play saviour to those in lower-income contexts". (Barei-Guyot, 2022: 2). Our partners, in turn, demonstrated through their practice the importance of adhering to a feminist ethics of care and prioritising relationships in the long-term. Fortunately, by the time operational costs were incurred (for the production and publication of a policy brief in 2023), the funds had been reinstated and the project was able to cover the costs directly.

Ethics and Voice in the Virtual Field

While professing to safeguard researchers and participants, ethics committees in the GN are increasingly coming under critique. As Israel (2017) notes, they rarely consider the way ethics is understood or practised in the GS and as a result can exercise "ethical imperialism". The norms are often rigid and rarely consider the realities of situated research, or its long-term impact, as Grieve and Mitchell (2020) suggest. For her part, Barei-Guyot (2022:5) argues that such procedures "…cannot

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

always account for the reality of the additional care and commitment that goes into establishing and maintaining long-term relationships in the field." While often well-intentioned, ethics committees run the risk of falling into the colonial trap by defining ethical values and constraints, generally devoid of negotiation and relationship with GS partners, and thus undermining or rarifying decolonial approaches to research.

While our ethics committee did not consider the interviewees to be high risk *per se*, as a default position it strongly recommended we utilize the generalised option of anonymity for participants, given the ongoing situation of violence and threats made against human rights defenders in Colombia, exacerbated by the pandemic lockdowns (Fernández, Scauso and Stravrevska, 2022). Towards the end of phase 2 of our research, one participant was reluctant to give the interview because of the suggestion of anonymity (Yolanda Perea Mosquera, personal interview, on-line, December 1st, 2021). On reflection, the University's top-down approach had preconditioned us and effectively silenced her voice out of our specifically constructed concerns for her security, unaware of our epistemic privilege (Sullivan-Clarke, 2020). Once again, we had fallen into a colonial trap; in parallel with our insistence on covering operational costs, it became clear that our concern over anonymity was a mis-placed expression of paternalism, disregarding the perspective and lived experience of the interviewees.

So, how did the pandemic affect the possibility for us to learn from and correct this mistake? On one hand, by not being present in person, there were many limitations. Conversations with interviewees in Colombia were held over Microsoft Teams or WhatsApp, rather than over coffee. Opportunities to walk in their environment and see what they were seeing and how they lived and worked were lost. And yet,

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

common experiences of the pandemic and the interruption of conversations — by poor internet signals, visitors, conversations with family members, responsibilities for young children and other phone calls (see also Rahmen et al, 2021) — afforded a unique opportunity to create a sense of closeness and *complicidad* between researcher and participants. Without making too much of the trope of Covid as a "leveller", as Fernandez Scauso and Stravrevska (2022) warn, and acknowledging our general privilege as scholars based in the GN, the pandemic created common ground between the researcher and interviewees, which was crucial for the cultivation of strong relationships. It also gave us the opportunity to reflect on points of convergence and resonance in the longer term, including a dialogue over experiences of colonial legacy in Colombia and Ireland.

Thanks to our digital connectivity and the sense of *complicidad* constructed with the participants over time, in keeping with the feminist notion of an ethics of care (West, 1999), we were able to resolve the issue of anonymity, some time after the initial interviews had taken place. Our Ethics Committee, while strongly recommending anonymity as default, had ultimately left the decision up to the Principal Investigators involved in the project and this, fortunately, gave us room to manoeuvre. All but one interviewee asked to be named in the final policy report, which — significantly— was produced first in Spanish and then translated into English (Wright, Rolston and Ní Aoláin, 2023b). Such extended and instantaneous contact with research participants located in the GS and researchers located in the GN was made possible precisely due to the opening-up of the virtual field in the COVID-19 context.

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

Consequently, while acknowledging the digital divide, the normalisation and convenience of on-line communication offered a unique opportunity to address our mistakes and give voice to research participants in Colombia, bolstering our decolonial and feminist agenda. Ongoing communication is thus a significant tool for "decolonial allyship" (Sullivan-Clarke, 2020: 34), considering Knott's (2019) observation that there is an ethical onus for researchers to be responsive to the situation of research participants beyond the moment of structured engagement, particularly in politically dynamic contexts.

Ending this reflection on the importance of voice, it is important to highlight that the interviewees questioned the basic premise of the research; while acknowledging that some progress had been made, in their experience TJ is not the most appropriate arena to deal with the everyday after-effects of historical colonialism, namely discrimination and marginalisation. Rather, they noted that there are three routes to decolonial transformation: 1) education; 2) participation; and 3) favourable legal structures, with intercultural education policy being priority. We incorporated these findings into our policy brief (Wright, Rolston and Ní Aoláin, 2023b), acknowledging the situated perspectives of Colombian women who have been active in the public sphere, particularly in the areas of human rights, community organising, and education. While ultimately weakening our hypothesis on the capacity of TJ to undo colonial legacies, this approach reflects our open commitment to curtail "knowledge imperialism" (Jones and Lühe, 2021), namely the imposition of research agendas from the GN to GS.

Crucially, the purpose of a policy document is to promote change in the public sphere, and consequently it constitutes a bridge between knowledge production and political transformation. As we have discussed, while funders place considerable emphasis on impact, it is important that the ways in which this is developed do not reproduce colonial hierarchies and therefore interests or agendas. Together with nurturing equitable relationships and giving voice to participants, adjusting the focus of our research to look at alternative routes to deal with colonial legacy – while acknowledging the progress already made by women belonging to Ethnic Peoples in Colombia in doing so – is in keeping with a decolonial, feminist ethics of care. Ultimately, our policy recommendations emanate from the interviewees themselves, with all the complexities that this entails. In the same way, long-term interactions and reflections, including acknowledging mistakes and looking to rectify them, must underpin the GN-GS "development" interface.

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

Conclusion

The global pandemic wreaked devastation and yet *el Maestro Covid* has provided a moment of reckoning. The crisis gave rise to important conversations on a whole range of issues, paving the way for a global dialogue on the ongoing legacies of colonialism. These discussions have spread to academia and to the field of human rights, including the area of Transitional Justice (TJ). Given the object of our study (colonial legacies and TJ), the political context (global conversations on colonialism during the pandemic), and our own positionality (scholars located in the UK/Ireland carrying out research in Colombia), it became increasingly important to consider our role as agents in the politics of knowledge production (Jones and Lühe, 2021). In keeping with critical perspectives in IR, we maintain that "…the so-called "timeliness" of a research agenda is a manifestation of its political relevance." (Halistoprak, 2021: 23)

At the start of this article, we asked the question: how has the COVID-19 pandemic affected research inequalities between researchers based in Europe and participants based in Latin America? Our findings are mixed. In keeping with a critical account of the international politics of foreign aid, the results are devastating. The funding cuts to ODA in response to the global pandemic severely undermined pretensions to equitable partnerships and the potential for decolonial solidarity on the part of the GN, at the structural level. And, yet, at an inter-personal level and, in keeping with a feminist ethics of care, there is more room for optimism. Indeed, moving to the virtual field (with vast possibilities for follow-up contact) and sharing experiences of the pandemic offered a unique possibility to create a sense of closeness or *complicidad*

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

between participants based in Colombia and researchers in Northern Ireland, which in part mitigated the problems at the structural level.

We agree with Barei-Guyot (2022) that – while creating difficulties for research relationships between the GN and GS – the global pandemic has offered a unique opportunity to critically interrogate inequalities and look for ways to decolonise these relationships. Indeed, *El Maestro Covid* taught us valuable lessons regarding our own inadvertent paternalism and "putative" allyship (Sullivan-Clarke, 2020). The first lesson is that equitable relationships go beyond financial resources; rather, they are about really listening to what the partner needs and meeting them there. The second lesson is that conversations over issues such as consent and anonymity need to be dynamic, ongoing and bottom-up (from participants) rather than top-down (from Ethics Committees). It is important to incorporate inputs from participants themselves, regarding both the research relationship and the agenda/results of the study, particularly in contexts which are prone to change (see further Knott, 2019).

The next phase in our study is to undertake fieldwork in our own context: the UK and Ireland. The first step in mitigating an extractive approach is to take 'seriously the epistemic perspective/cosmologies/insights of critical thinkers from the Global South' (Grosfoguel, 2007: 212) and thus decolonise the canon (Halistoprak, 2021). Indeed, several Colombian colleagues suggested we should turn the mirror back on ourselves and challenge our observations on the GN with insights of scholars from the GS, including the notion of *coloniality*. The resonance of lessons and experiences between Ireland and Colombia, including reflections on the colonial structures in which we

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

ourselves are entangled, may give greater depth to the *complicidad* developed between researchers and interviewees in both locations.

Although we come from the part of the globe which originated both historical colonialism and ongoing coloniality, we believe that we can advance legitimate insights, partly gained in attempting to critique these processes and partly because colonialism lies at the heart of many structural inequalities within the GN societies, including Ireland. On the surface, the epistemological ocean between North and South is too great to be traversed, making the position of 'Northern' researchers irremediable. But, if the transformation of the world and the consequent dismantling of colonial hegemony is necessary, it cannot exclude the efforts of those who critique and challenge that system from within and seek to cultivate *complicidad* with interlocutors in the GS. As we have shown, and thanks to the lessons offered by *El Maestro Covid*, such efforts must move away from the colonial trap inherent in this type of work, towards a model of "decolonial allyship" (Sullivan-Clarke, 2020).

---

[1] The notion of "El Maestro Covid", or covid as a teacher, has echoed in informal conversations with friends and colleagues in Latin America on what we might learn from the pandemic as a crisis.

[2] The findings are presented in Wright, Rolston and Ní Aoláin (2023a).

[3] There are some notable exceptions (see for instance Maddison and Shepherd, 2013; Bueno-Hansen, 2015; Moyo, 2019; Balint *et al*., 2014).

[4] Including Mauritius and Tunisia (van der Merwe and Moyo, 2020) and Guatemala (Bueno-Hansen, 2015).

[5] In 2022, The International Journal of Transitional Justice launched a call for papers on Race, Racism and Transitional Justice (see Matiangai and Achiume, 2024).

[6] See "Postcolonial hierarchies in peace and conflict", a collaborative project between Arnold Bergstraesser Institute (Freiburg), the Center for Conflict Studies at the Philipps University Marburg, the University of Bayreuth, and the University of Erfurt (Postcolonial Hierarchies In Peace And Conflict, 2024).

[7] In 2023 the Transitional Justice Blog at the University of Leuven published a special series on Transitional Justice and Historical Redress, on the issues of slavery and colonialism (see Leuven Transitional Justice Blog, 2023).

References

African Union

2019 *An Integrated, Prosperous and Peaceful Africa. Transitional Justice Policy*. Addis Ababa: African Union.

Ahmed An-Naʻim, Abdullahi

2013 "Editorial Note: From the Neocolonial "Transitional" to Indigenous Formations of Justice." *The International Journal of Transitional Justice* 7: 197–204.

Anyan, Frederick

2013 "The Influence of Power Shifts in Data Collection and Analysis Stages: A Focus on Qualitative Research Interview." *The Qualitative Report* 18, no.18: 1-9.

Arditti, Rita

2002 "The Grandmothers of the Plaza de Mayo and the Struggle against Impunity in Argentina." *Meridians 3,* no.1: 19-41.

Balint, Jennifer, Julie Evans and Nesam McMillan

2014 "Rethinking Transitional Justice, Redressing Indigenous Harm: A New Conceptual Approach." *International Journal of Transitional Justice* 8: 194–216.

Barei-Guyot, Isis

2022 "Layers of honesty: Postcolonial feminism and ethical research relationships post-pandemic". *Area*, 00: 1– 9. https://doi.org/10.1111/area.12852

Barei-Guyot, Isis

2021 "Social Science Research and Covid-19." In *GDI Working Paper 2021-052*.

Manchester: The University of Manchester.

Betts, Raymond F.

1998 *Decolonization*. London and New York: Routledge.

Bhabha, Homi

1984 "Of Mimicry and Man: The Ambivalence of Colonial Discourse". *October*, 28: 125–

133.

Bilgen, Arda, Aftab Nasir and Julia Schöneberg

2021 "Why positionalities matter: reflections on power, hierarchy, and knowledges in

"development" research," *Canadian Journal of Development Studies / Revue canadienne*

d'études du développement 42, no. 4: 519-536.

Bisoka, Aymar Nyenyezi

2020 "Disturbing the aesthetics of power: Why covid-19 is not an "event" for fieldwork-

based social scientists". *Items*. Accessed April 24, 2024. https://items.ssrc.org/covid-19-and-

the-social-sciences/social-research-and-insecurity/disturbing-the-aesthetics-of-power-why-

covid-19-is-not-an-event-for-fieldwork-based-social-scientists/.

Blume, Arthur W.

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

2022 *Colonialism and the COVID-19 Pandemic. Perspectives from Indigenous Psychology*.

New York: Springer.

Boer Cueva, Alba, Giri, Keshab, Hamilton, Caitlin, and Shepherd, Laura J.

2021 "The unexpected intimacy of virtual fieldwork". The Gender Hub. Blog published

October 22, 2021. https://thegenderhub.com/blog/the-unexpected-intimacy-of-virtual-

fieldwork/.

Buckley-Zistel, Susanne, Teresa Koloma Beck, Christian Braun, Christian, and Friederike

Mieth

2014 "Transitional Justice Theories: An Introduction" pp-15 in Susanne Buckley-Zistel,

Teresa Koloma Beck, Christian Braun, and Friederike Mieth (Eds), *Transitional Justice

Theories*. London: Routledge.

Buenahora Durán, Gonzalo

2020 "Sebastián de Belalcázar, Fundador de Ciudades, Destructor de Pueblos."

Administración y Desarrollo 50, no. 2: 108-145.

Bueno-Hansen, Pasha

2015 Feminist and Human Rights Struggles in Peru: Decolonizing Transitional Justice.

Champaign: University of Illinois Press.

Castro-Gómez, Santiago

2007 "The Missing chapter of Empire". *Cultural Studies* 21, no. 2-3: 428-448.

CEV (Comisión para el Esclarecimiento de la Verdad, la Convivencia y la No Repetición) 2022a. "Hay futuro si hay verdad. Informe Final. Hallazgos y Recomendaciones". Accessed April 24, 2024. https://www.comisiondelaverdad.co/hallazgos-y-recomendaciones-1.

CEV (Comisión para el Esclarecimiento de la Verdad, la Convivencia y la No Repetición) 2022b. "Hay futuro si hay verdad. Informe Final. Convocatoria a la Paz Grande. Declaración de la Comisión de la Verdad, la Convivencia y la No Repetición". Accessed April 24, 2024. https://www.comisiondelaverdad.co/convocatoria-la-paz-grande-0.

Chaparro Moreno, Liliana and Heyder Alfonso
 2020 "Impactos de la COVID-19 en la violencia contra las mujeres. El caso de Bogotá (Colombia)." *Nova 18*, no. 35: 115-119.

Charvet, Estefanía and Adrea Ordóñez
2022 "The Covid-19 Pandemic as an Opportunity for Leveraging Global South Research and Knowledge" *IDS Bulletin* 53, no. 3: 153-162.

Cordeiro-Rodrigues, Luis
2021 "Colonial Legacies and African Reparations: What Ubuntu Implies in Terms of the Duties of Europeans." *Philosophia Africana* 2, no. 1: 67-82.

Cronin-Furman, Kate and Milli Lake
2018 "Ethics Abroad: Fieldwork in Fragile and Violent Contexts." *PS: Political Science and Politics* 51, no. 3: 607-614.

Destrooper, Tine

2022 "Belgium's 'Truth Commission' on its overseas colonial legacy: An expressivist analysis of transitional justice in consolidated democracies." *Journal of Human Rights* 22, no. 2: 158-173. DOI: 10.1080/14754835.2022.2042220

Escobar, Arturo

2004 "Beyond the Third World: imperial globality, global coloniality and anti-globalisation social movements." *Third World Quarterly 25*, no. 1: 207–230.

Fals Borda, Orlando

2007 "La investigación acción en convergencias disciplinarias." *LASA Forum XXXVIII, no. 4:* 17-22.

Fernández, Ariana, Marcos S. Scauso and Elena B. Stravrevska

2022 "Avatars of colonial and liberal violences: the revelatory character of COVID-19 governance in Colombia. "*Third World Quarterly 43,* no.6: 1425-1440.

Fletcher, Laurel E. and Harvey M. Weinstein

2018 "How Power Dynamics Influence the "North-South" Gap in Transitional Justice." *Berkeley Journal of International Law* 36, no. 2: 190-217.

Flint, Adrian G, Guy Howard, Manish Baidya, Tadesse Wondim, Moti Poudel, Anisha Nijhawan, Subodh Sharma and Yohannes Mulugeta.

2022 "Equity in Global North-South research partnerships: Interrogating UK funding models." *Global Social Challenges Journal* 1, no. 1: 76-93.

Gaillard, Jacques F

1994 "North-South Research Partnership: Is Collaboration Possible between Unequal

Partners?" *Knowledge and Policy: The International Journal of Knowledge Transfer and

Utilization* 7, no. 2: 31-63.

Gillooly, Shauna N

2021 "Colombian city beset by crime declares 'Black Lives Matter'." The Conversation.

Accessed 26 April 2024. https://theconversation.com/colombian-city-beset-by-crime-

declares-black-lives-matter-158008.

Gready, Paul and Simon Robins

2020 "Transitional Justice and Theories of Change: Towards evaluation as understanding."

International Journal of Transitional Justice 14: 280-299.

Grieve, Tigist and Rafael Mitchell

2020 "Promoting meaningful and equitable relationships? Exploring the UK's Global

Challenges Research Fund (GCRF) funding criteria from the perspectives of African

partners."*European Journal of Development Research* 32: 514-528.

Grosfoguel, Ramón

2011 "Decolonizing Post-Colonial Studies and Paradigms of Political Economy:

Transmodernity, Decolonial Thinking, and Global Coloniality." *Transmodernity: Journal of

Peripheral Cultural Production of the Luso-Hispanic World* 1, no.1.

Halistoprak, Burak Toygar.

2021. "Knowledge production and its politicization within International Relations and Peace

Studies," pp. 21-36 in Briony Jones and Ulrike Lühe (eds.) *Knowledge for Peace.*

Transitional Justice and the Politics of Knowledge in Theory and Practice. Cheltenham:

Edward Elgar.

Harman, Sophie, Parsa Erfani, Tinashe Goronga, Jason Hickel, Michelle Morse, Eugene T.

Richardson.

2021 "Global vaccine equity demands reparative justice – not charity." *BMJ Global Health* 6:

1-4.

Hayner, Priscilla B.

2011. *Unspeakable Truths. Transitional Justice and the Challenge of Truth Commissions.*

London: Routledge.

Herrera, Marta

2006. "Transición entre el ordenamiento territorial preshipánico y el colonial en la Nueva

Granada." *Historia Crítica* 32: 118-152.

Ilich Bacca, Paulo, Diana Quigua, and David Murillo

2020 *Del miedo a la acción. Pandemia y territorios étnicos: Colonialismo ayer y hoy*.

Bogotá: Editorial de Justicia.

Israel, Mark

2017 "Ethical Imperialism? Exporting Research Ethics to the Global South," pp.89-102 in

Ron Iphofen and Martin Tolich (eds.) *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research Ethics*.

London: Sage.

Izquierdo, Belkis and Lieselotte Viaene

2018 "Decolonizing Transitional Justice from Indigenous Territories." *Peace in Process* 34:

11-19.

Jansen, Jan C. and Jürgen Osterhammel,

2017 *Decolonization: A short history*. Princeton (NJ) and Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Jaramillo Sierra, Isabel C.

2013 *Derecho y familia en Colombia: historias de raza, género y propiedad (1540-1980)*.

Bogotá: Universidad de los Andes, Facultad de Derecho, Ediciones Uniandes.

Jones, Briony and Ulrike Lühe (eds.)

2021 *Knowledge for Peace. Transitional Justice and the Politics of Knowledge in Theory and

Practice*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.

Knott, Eleanor

2019 "Beyond the Field: Ethics after Fieldwork in Politically Dynamic Contexts"

Perspectives on Politics 17, no. 1: 140–53.

Krystalli, Roxani C.

2021 "Narrating victimhood: dilemmas and (in)dignities." *International Feminist Journal of Politics* 23, no. 1: 125-146.

Kumar Pankaj, Ashuk

2005 "Revisiting Foreign Aid Theories", *International Studies* 42, no. 2: 103-121.

LeGrand, Catherine

1989 "Colonization and Violence in Colombia: Perspectives and Debates." *Canadian Journal of Latin American and Caribbean Studies / Revue Canadienne Des Études Latino-Américaines et Caraïbes* 14, no. 28: 5–29.

Lennox, Connie and Yesam Y. Yildiz,

2020 "Activist scholarship in human rights." *International Journal of Human Rights* 24, no. 1: 4-27.

Leuven Transitional Justice Blog

2023. "Transitional Justice and Historical Redress". Blog published 30 January 2023. Accessed April 26, 2024. https://law.kuleuven.be/ltjb/transitional-justice-and-historical-redress/.

Lindqvist, Sven

2007 *Terra Nullius: A Journey through No One's Land*. London: Granta.

Loomba, Ania

2005 *Colonialism/Postcolonialism*. London/New York: Routledge.

Maddison, Sarah and Laura J. Shepherd.

2013 "Peacebuilding and the Postcolonial Politics of Transitional Justice." *Peacebuilding* 2, no. 3: 253–269.

Maher, Frances A. and Mary K. Tetreault

1993 "Frames of Positionality: Constructing Meaningful Dialogues about Gender and Race." *Anthropological Quarterly* 66, no. 3: 118-126.

Matiangai, Sirleaf and E Tendayi Achiume

2024 "Reflecting on Race, Racism and Transitional Justice*." International Journal for Transitional Justice* 00: 1-17.

https://doi.org/10.1093/ijtj/ijae007

McClintock, Anne

1992 "The Angel of Progress: Pitfalls of the Term "Post-Colonialism"." *Third World and Post-Colonial Issues* 31/32: 84-98.

McVeigh, Robbie and Bill Rolston

2021 *Anois ar theacht an tSamhraidh: Ireland, Colonialism and the Unfinished Revolution*. Belfast: Beyond the Pale Books.

Mignolo, Walter D.

2005 *The Idea of Latin America*. Malden, MA: Blackwell.

Mohanty, Chandra T.

2003 *Feminism without Borders. Decolonizing Theory, Practicing Solidarity*. Durham: Duke

University Press.

Moyo, Khanyisela

2019 *Postcolonial Justice: Zimbabwe and Beyond*. London: Routledge.

Muñoz Arbaláez, Santiago

2015 *Costumbres en disputa. Los muiscas y el Imperio español en Ubaque, siglo xvi*. Bogotá:

Universidad de los Andes, Facultad de Ciencias Sociales, Departamento de Historia.

Nasar, Saima 2020

"Remembering Edward Colston: histories of slavery, memory, and black globality."

Women's History Review 29, no. 7: 1218-1225.

Ní Aoláin, Fionnuala

2022 "Exceptionality: A Typology of COVID-19 Emergency Powers" *UCLA Journal of*

International Law and Foreign Affairs 26, no. 2: 49-78.

Nieto Olarte, Mauricio

2013 *Las máquinas del imperio y el reino de Dios: reflexiones sobre ciencia, tecnología y*

religión en el mundo atlántico del siglo XVI. Bogotá: Ediciones Uniandes, Universidad de los

Andes.

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright
Nwako, Zibah, Tigest Grieve, Rafael Mitchell, Julia Paulson, Tania Saeed, Kelsey Shanks, and Rachel Wilder

2023 "Doing harm: the impact of UK's GCRF cuts on research ethics, partnerships and governance", *Global Social Challenges Journal* 2 , no. 2: 64-85.

https://doi.org/10.1332/GJSZ3052

O'Rourke, Catherine

2014 "'Walking the Halls of Power'? Understanding Women's Participation in International Peace and Security". *Melbourne Journal of International Law* 15, no. 1.

http://classic.austlii.edu.au/au/journals/MelbJIL/2014/4.html

Park, Augustine S.J.

2020 "Settler Colonialism, Decolonization, and Radicalizing Transitional Justice."
International Journal of Transitional Justice 14, no.2: 260-279.

Pearce, Roy Harvey

1998 *Savagism and Civilization: a study of the Indian and the American mind. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.*

Postcolonial Hierarchies in Peace and Conflict

2024. "Postcolonial Hierarchies in Peace and Conflict". Accessed April 24, 2024.

https://www.postcolonial-hierarchies.net/

Rahman, Syahirah A., Lauren Tuckerman, Tim Vorley and Cristian Gherhes

2021 "Resilient Research in the Field: Insights and Lessons from Adapting Qualitative Research Projects During the COVID-19 Pandemic." *International Journal of Qualitative Methods* 20: 1-16.

Robertson, Jennifer

 2002 "Reflexivity Redux: A Pithy Polemic on "Positionality"." *Anthropological Quarterly* 75, no. 4: 785-792.

Rodríguez-Martínez, Guillermo and Carlos A. Arango Lozano

2022 "Uso de internet y redes sociales en el marco de la contingencia Covid-19 en Colombia: análisis en población juvenil considerando su nivel socio-económico." *Texto Livre* 15: 1-21 https://doi.org/10.35699/1983-3652.2022.34828.

Rowen, J. Rebecca

2017 ""We Don't Believe in Transitional Justice:" Peace and the Politics of Legal Ideas in Colombia." *Law and Social Inquiry* 42, no. 3: 622–647.

Schettini, Patricia, and Inés Cortazzo

2015 *Análisis de datos cualitativos en la investigación social. Procedimientos y herramientas para la interpretación de información cualitativa*. Buenos Aires: Universidad Nacional de la Plata/ Editorial de la Universidad de la Plata.

Spivak, Gayatri C.

1988 "Can the Subaltern Speak?", pp.271-313 in Cary Nelson and Laurence Grossberg (eds) *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.

Stoler, Ann L.

2008 "Imperial Debris: Reflections on Ruins and Ruination." *Cultural Anthropology* 23, no.

2:191-219.

Sullivan-Clarke, Andrea

2020 "Empowering Relations. An Indigenous Understanding of Allyship in North America."

Journal of World Philosophies 5: 30-42.

UKRI

2022 "Defining impact."Accessed April 24, 2024.

https://www.ukri.org/councils/esrc/impact-toolkit-for-economic-and-social-

sciences/defining-impact/

UKRI

2023 "Global Challenges Research Fund." Accessed April 24, 2024.

https://www.ukri.org/what-we-do/browse-our-areas-of-investment-and-support/global-

challenges-research-fund/.

Van der Merwe, Hugo and Annah Moyo

2020 "Transitional Justice for Colonial Era Abuses and Legacies: African versus European

Policy Priorities" pp 41-67 in Morten Bergsmo, Wolfgang Kaleck and Kyaw Y. Hlaing (eds)

Colonial Wrongs and Access to International Law, Torkel Opsahl Academic EPublisher.

West, Robin

Bill Rolston, Fionnuala Ní Aoláin, Claire Wright

1999 *Caring for Justice.* New York: New York University Press.

Wright, Claire and Salvador Martí i Puig

2012 "Conflicts Over Natural Resources and Activation of Indigenous Identity in Cusco, Peru", *Latin American and Caribbean Ethnic Studies* 7, no. 3: 249-274.

Wright, Claire, Rolston, Bill and Fionnuala Ní Aoláin

2023a "Navigating colonial debris. Structural challenges for Colombia's peace accord", *Peacebuilding* 11, no.1, 62-77.

Wright, Claire, Rolston, Bill and Fionnuala Ní Aoláin

2023b "Colonial legacies in Colombia. Impact on Indigenous, Afro-descendant and Black Women and Pathways to Change," Gender Justice and Security Hub Policy Brief 05/2023. Accessed May 16th 2024 https://thegenderhub.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/08/Colonial-Legacies.pdf